

PORTFOLIO CASE STUDY | SEPTEMBER 2026

BUSINESS AI ADOPTION PROPOSAL

Brand XYZ Consulting

Building a Fully AI-Enabled Agency That Helps Small and Mid-Sized Businesses
Adopt AI

A practical proposal connecting enterprise-wide AI adoption, small-business advisory services, marketing operations, data readiness, generative AI, automation, responsible governance, and measurable value.

Prepared by Laila Kamaruddin Thomas

AI-Enabled Marketing | Brand Strategy | Education | Technology | Project Leadership

Important portfolio disclosure

Brand XYZ Consulting is a fictitious company used for academic and portfolio demonstration. The strategy, service model, targets, and roadmap are proposed—not claimed business results. Any implementation would require stakeholder validation, legal review, approved data access, vendor due diligence, and pilot evidence.

01 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A fully AI-enabled company built around human-led differentiation

Brand XYZ Consulting is positioned as a proposed fully AI-enabled agency serving small- and mid-sized organizations in education, hospitality, entertainment, fashion, and event management. AI will be embedded across strategy, insight, creative production, operations, customer communication, measurement, and continuous improvement. The company will use that internal capability to help clients adopt practical AI systems they could not easily design or manage alone. Its competitive advantage should not be low-cost automation. It should be the ability to combine sector knowledge, creative judgment, coordinated marketing, change support, and responsible AI to deliver work that is faster, more relevant, and easier to measure.

RECOMMENDATION: Build Brand XYZ as a fully AI-enabled, human-led agency. Use AI to accelerate research, first drafts, segmentation, analysis, client service, workflow execution, and reporting while people retain accountability for positioning, creative direction, claims, privacy, client relationships, and final approval. Package the strongest internal workflows into affordable advisory and implementation services for small and mid-sized businesses.

Organization snapshot

Dimension	Proposed position
Target clients	Small- and mid-sized organizations with limited internal marketing capacity.
Core services	AI readiness, data and CRM preparation, branding, digital marketing, content, automation, employee training, customer experience, and integrated communications.
Current baseline	CRM and marketing analytics concepts are available; AI is not yet fully integrated.
Strategic advantage	Human-centered creativity strengthened by faster insight, personalization, and production.
Adoption horizon	Four phases over approximately 18 months, with continue-adjust-stop gates.

Intended business outcomes

- Reduce time spent on repetitive first-draft, formatting, and reporting work.
- Improve audience relevance through governed segmentation and message variation.
- Create more consistent cross-channel campaigns without weakening brand voice.
- Give small teams clearer performance signals and faster learning cycles.
- Build client trust through documented human review, privacy controls, transparent AI use, training, and adoption support.

Decision principle

AI should be adopted only where the task is clearly defined, relevant data is available, quality can be evaluated, and a responsible owner can review the result. Speed alone is not evidence of value.

02 | CLIENT SERVICE MODEL

Helping small and mid-sized businesses adopt AI from strategy through improvement

Many smaller organizations understand that AI may improve their work but do not have a dedicated AI team, connected data, clear governance, or enough time to compare tools and redesign workflows. Brand XYZ will translate enterprise AI practices into affordable, staged services that match each client's size, industry, staff capacity, risk, and business priorities.

Service	Client need	Representative deliverables
AI readiness and strategy	Decide where AI is useful, realistic, and responsible.	Readiness assessment; use-case portfolio; data and risk map; prioritized 90-day plan; investment gates.
Data and CRM foundation	Create reliable, permissioned information for analysis and personalization.	Data inventory; consent and retention rules; field standards; customer journey map; dashboard requirements.
AI-assisted marketing studio	Produce stronger campaigns and content with a small team.	Brand profile; prompt library; content workflow; creative review rubric; cross-channel campaign system; A/B test plan.
Automation and customer experience	Reduce repetitive work and improve follow-up without losing human service.	Workflow maps; CRM synchronization; report automation; lead follow-up; scheduling; governed FAQ or chatbot pilot.
Employee training and governance	Help people use AI confidently, safely, and consistently.	Responsible-use policy; role-based training; approval matrix; incident process; model/prompt change log; review checklist.
Measurement and continuous improvement	Prove whether AI improves quality, time, customer value, and operations.	Baseline dashboard; pilot scorecard; experiment design; ROI logic; continue-adjust-stop review; quarterly optimization plan.

Client adoption journey

1 Discover	2 Assess	3 Design	4 Pilot	5 Adopt	6 Improve
Clarify the business problem and stakeholders.	Review workflow, data, skills, tools, and risk.	Define the future process, controls, owner, and measures.	Test one bounded use case against a baseline.	Train people, integrate approved systems, and document support.	Monitor quality, value, incidents, adoption, and next priorities.
SMALL-BUSINESS PRINCIPLE: Begin with the smallest use case that can produce measurable value. Avoid expensive custom models or complex automation until approved data, staff capacity, and pilot evidence justify them.					

03 | STRATEGIC CONTEXT

The business problem and the differentiated response

The agency model creates a familiar tension: clients expect specialized thinking, fast production, personalization, and measurable results, while smaller teams operate with limited time, data quality, and budgets. Uncontrolled automation can produce generic work, weak claims, privacy exposure, and inconsistent brand experiences. The strategy must therefore improve capacity without removing professional judgment.

Current-state pressure	AI-enabled opportunity	Required boundary
High volume of first drafts and channel variations	Generate structured options and reusable campaign components	Human creative direction and final approval
Fragmented customer and campaign data	Create governed views for segmentation and reporting	Consent, access roles, retention, and data-quality checks
Slow performance reporting	Summarize results and surface anomalies	Source traceability and analyst validation
Small teams serving different sectors	Reuse workflows while adapting language and evidence	No unsupported claims or one-size-fits-all messaging
Pressure to demonstrate ROI	Connect work to funnel and operational measures	Separate proposed targets from verified outcomes

Three pillars of AI-Augmented Differentiation

1 Better insight	2 Stronger creative systems	3 Responsible delivery
Use predictive signals, segmentation, sentiment, and performance patterns to improve decisions—not to replace strategy.	Accelerate briefs, concepts, copy variations, visual directions, and cross-format adaptation inside defined brand rules.	Document ownership, human review, data limits, disclosure, incident response, and evidence before scaling.

Scope boundaries

- No autonomous publishing, spending, client commitments, or material claims during the pilot.
- No use of confidential client information in unapproved public AI tools.
- No promise of model accuracy, campaign lift, or cost savings before measured evidence exists.
- No replacement of creative, legal, privacy, or account-management accountability.

04 | PRIORITY USE CASES

A balanced portfolio of prediction, generation, and communication

The first portfolio should favor frequent, reviewable work with clear inputs and measurable outputs. Each use case must begin with a documented baseline and a named human owner.

Use case	Business workflow	Required inputs	Human control	Pilot signal
Predictive campaign analytics	Estimate which audience, timing, channel, and offer combinations deserve testing.	Historical campaign, spend, engagement, and conversion data.	Analyst validates data quality, assumptions, and test design.	Better prioritization; forecast error tracked.
Customer segmentation	Group audiences by relevant behavior and needs for message planning.	CRM, website, email, service, and consent data.	Strategist checks usefulness, fairness, and prohibited proxies.	Segment response and opt-out patterns.
Sentiment and trend detection	Identify recurring themes in reviews, surveys, and public conversation.	Approved text sources, dates, channels, and context.	Reviewer interprets sarcasm, culture, and reputational risk.	Faster issue identification; validated theme precision.
Content and campaign drafting	Create briefs, headlines, emails, posts, blogs, and channel variants.	Approved facts, audience, objective, offer, tone, and constraints.	Creative lead verifies accuracy, originality, claims, and brand fit.	Cycle time, revision rounds, approval rate.
Visual concept development	Explore early layouts, palettes, image directions, and format adaptations.	Brand kit, accessibility rules, usage rights, and channel specs.	Designer selects and refines; rights and representation reviewed.	Concept throughput; reuse rate; accessibility checks.
Customer communication	Draft FAQs, follow-ups, service explanations, and chatbot responses.	Approved knowledge base, CRM context, and escalation rules.	High-impact topics route to a person; responses logged.	Resolution rate, escalation quality, satisfaction.

Recommended release sequence

Wave 1: assist	Wave 2: integrate	Wave 3: optimize
Briefs, first drafts, summarization, internal research, and reporting support.	CRM-connected personalization, approved content workflows, and governed chatbot pilots.	Predictive allocation, cross-client reusable services, and carefully controlled agentic workflows.

05 | TARGET OPERATING MODEL

AI supports the workflow; people own the decision

1 Define	2 Prepare	3 Generate / analyze	4 Review	5 Activate	6 Learn
Objective, audience, decision, constraints, success measure	Approved data, brand rules, prompt or model configuration	Produce options, predictions, summaries, or classifications	Check facts, reasoning, ethics, brand, accessibility, and risk	Publish or act through approved systems and permissions	Capture outcome, error, feedback, and reusable learning

Roles and accountability

Role	Primary responsibility
Founder / executive sponsor	Own strategy, investment gates, client promise, risk tolerance, and monthly AI review.
Marketing / creative lead	Set briefs, brand and claims rules, accessibility expectations, and approval standards.
Data and CRM specialist	Own data inventory, consent, quality, access, retention, lineage, and measurement definitions.
Automation / AI developer	Select integration method, test prompts and models, log changes, and maintain rollback paths.
Privacy / legal adviser	Review contracts, intellectual property, disclosure, privacy, and high-impact use cases.
Client / account owner	Validate objectives, approved sources, audience context, escalation, and final deliverables.

Reference architecture

Layer	Components	Control objective
Systems of record	CRM, web analytics, email, ads, social platforms, surveys, campaign reports	Use approved sources; define ownership and retention.
Governed data layer	Consent flags, identity rules, taxonomy, quality checks, approved knowledge	Prevent unauthorized or misleading inputs.
AI services	LLMs, classification, clustering, forecasting, image tools, workflow automation	Use-case approval, testing, logging, and version control.
Human review	Creative, analyst, account, privacy, and legal checkpoints	Match review depth to impact and uncertainty.
Activation and evidence	CMS, CRM, email, social, dashboards, incident log, experiment record	Trace what changed, who approved it, and what happened.

AUTOMATION RULE: Direct integrations are preferred when they are secure and maintainable. Robotic process automation is reserved for stable legacy workflows without reliable APIs and must include monitoring and a manual fallback.

06 | IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP

Four phases over approximately 18 months

Phase	Timing	Priority actions	Evidence required to continue
1 Foundation and governance	Months 0-3	Choose a small cross-sector pilot group; inventory data and tools; define owners, approved-use rules, retention, incident response, accessibility, and baseline measures.	Named owners; approved tools; baseline dashboard; risk register; pilot charter; stop criteria.
2 Assisted-work pilots	Months 4-6	Test first drafts, research, summaries, campaign variants, reporting support, and evaluation rubrics in low-risk workflows.	Quality scores; time comparison; reviewer feedback; error log; adoption evidence; no unresolved critical risks.
3 Integrated workflows	Months 7-12	Connect approved CRM/content data; pilot segmentation, personalization, governed chatbot support, and controlled automation.	Access controls; consent tests; integration reliability; escalation quality; client approval; rollback drill.
4 Scale differentiated services	Months 13-18	Package successful workflows into repeatable services; expand training, monitoring, vendor management, and cross-client learning.	Validated client value; stable controls; unit economics; service standards; quarterly governance review.

Phase gates

Continue	Adjust	Stop
Quality, value, adoption, and controls meet the agreed thresholds.	Potential value exists, but workflow, data, training, model, or review depth needs revision.	The use case lacks reliable data, creates unacceptable risk, cannot be evaluated, or fails to produce material value.

Operating cadence

- Weekly during pilots: review samples, defects, user feedback, and workflow friction.
- Monthly: review adoption, value, data issues, incidents, model or prompt changes, and decisions.
- Quarterly after scale: reassess vendors, controls, client expectations, training, and the use-case portfolio.

The roadmap is intentionally staged. The organization earns the right to automate more only after it can demonstrate quality, traceability, responsible ownership, and business value in lower-risk assisted work.

07 | RESPONSIBLE AI AND GOVERNANCE

Controls designed into the operating model

The governance structure adapts the NIST AI Risk Management Framework's Govern, Map, Measure, and Manage functions to a small agency environment. It also reflects the OECD emphasis on innovative, trustworthy, human-centered AI.

Function	Brand XYZ application
Govern	Assign owners; approve tools and use cases; define risk tolerance, contracts, training, change logs, incidents, and review cadence.
Map	Document the business purpose, stakeholders, data, affected audiences, potential harms, failure conditions, and human decision points.
Measure	Test accuracy, relevance, consistency, bias, privacy, security, accessibility, brand fit, and operational performance before release.
Manage	Prioritize risks, apply controls, monitor production use, escalate incidents, pause or roll back, and record decisions.

Priority risk register

Risk	Example failure	Core controls
Privacy and confidentiality	Client or customer data enters an unapproved tool.	Data classification; least privilege; vendor terms; consent; retention; redaction; incident response.
Accuracy and hallucination	Generated copy invents a service detail, source, result, or claim.	Approved source pack; factual checklist; citations where relevant; human approval; sampling and error log.
Bias and exclusion	Segments or recommendations disadvantage an audience or rely on proxies.	Feature review; fairness checks; inclusive language; accessibility; escalation; avoid sensitive profiling.
Intellectual property	Output imitates protected work or uses unclear image rights.	Rights-approved assets; originality review; provenance records; contractual guidance; designer oversight.
Security and misuse	Prompt injection, malicious content, or excessive permissions change system behavior.	Input controls; restricted tools; permission boundaries; monitoring; testing; manual fallback.
Over-automation	A workflow publishes or commits the agency without adequate judgment.	Approval gates; spend/publish restrictions; confidence thresholds; escalation; rollback.

Minimum release record

Every material AI-enabled workflow should record: owner, purpose, approved data, tool/model version, prompt or configuration version, evaluation method, known limitations, reviewer, approval date, monitoring plan, escalation path, and rollback decision.

08 | MEASUREMENT AND BUSINESS CASE

Prove value with baselines, thresholds, and decisions

Before any pilot, Brand XYZ should record current production time, revision rounds, reporting time, data errors, campaign performance, client satisfaction, and employee confidence. The thresholds below are proposed pilot targets—not achieved results—and should be adjusted after a baseline is confirmed.

Dimension	Baseline measure	Proposed pilot threshold	Decision use
Draft efficiency	Median time from approved brief to review-ready first draft	At least 30% reduction without lower quality	Continue only if time saved exceeds review and rework.
Reporting efficiency	Time required to assemble and prepare standard campaign reports	At least 25% reduction without hidden reviewer work	Revise automation if reporting errors or rework offset the time saved.
Quality	Rubric score for accuracy, brand fit, audience fit, usability, and compliance	At least equal to baseline; no critical claim or privacy defects	Revise prompt, data, model, or review gate when quality falls.
People	Training completion, demonstrated workflow use, workload, and confidence	100% trained; at least 80% demonstrate the workflow successfully	Identify training, trust, job-design, or workflow barriers.
Reliability	Correct transfers, duplicates, exceptions, and manual recovery	At least 95% correct automated transfers; every exception assigned	Delay integration or add fallback when reliability is weak.
Customer value	Client-selected measure and pilot satisfaction	At least 10% improvement against the agreed comparison; satisfaction at least 4/5	Scale only after the effect is credible and attributable enough.
Creative quality	Compliance with the client's approved brand and claims checklist	At least 90% compliance during first review; 100% human approval before publishing	Revise prompts, instructions, or review depth when quality falls.
Risk	Critical incidents, unauthorized data use, unsupported claims, or missed escalations	Zero unresolved critical incidents	Pause immediately when the risk threshold is breached.

Financial logic

NET BENEFIT = verified labor time avoided + incremental contribution from improved outcomes - software, integration, data, review, training, and change-management costs.
ROI = net benefit / total investment.

Dashboard and evidence standard

The dashboard will combine operational measures (cycle time, review time, failure and reuse), quality measures (rubric scores and critical defects), business measures (qualified engagement, conversion, retention, and contribution), and people/risk measures (adoption, training, incidents, overrides, and rollback). Results should be compared against a baseline or controlled test where practical and state sample size, period, attribution limits, and uncertainty. Time saved is not valuable if it simply moves effort into review, error correction, or client recovery.

09 | LEADERSHIP HANDOFF

A practical first 90 days

First 30 days	Days 31-60	Days 61-90
Name the sponsor and pilot team. Select two low-risk workflows. Inventory tools and data. Capture baselines. Approve interim data and review rules.	Build and test the workflows. Train reviewers. Score outputs against baseline samples. Record defects, prompt/model changes, user feedback, and time.	Run a controlled client-safe pilot. Review value and risk evidence. Decide continue, adjust, or stop. Publish the internal playbook only after approval.

What this portfolio case study demonstrates

- Business strategy: connecting AI adoption to differentiation rather than technology for its own sake.
- Marketing and customer experience: translating data, content, channels, operations, and measurement into one system.
- Responsible AI: defining human accountability, controls, risk thresholds, and evidence before scale.
- Program leadership: sequencing work into phases with owners, deliverables, gates, and measurable outcomes.
- Technical communication: making architecture, workflow, data, governance, and value understandable to nontechnical stakeholders.

CONCLUSION: Brand XYZ should use AI to make its human expertise more scalable and evidence-driven—not to replace the judgment that makes a differentiated agency valuable.

Limitations and next evidence

Because the organization is fictitious, this proposal does not claim deployed systems, client outcomes, validated model performance, or realized ROI. The next proof would include approved sample data, workflow prototypes, evaluation records, user testing, security and privacy review, pilot dashboards, and a documented continue-adjust-stop decision.

Selected frameworks and sources

National Institute of Standards and Technology. AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0).

National Institute of Standards and Technology. Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework: Generative Artificial Intelligence Profile (NIST AI 600-1).

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. OECD AI Principles.

Kotler, Philip, Hermawan Kartajaya, and Iwan Setiawan. Marketing 5.0: Technology for Humanity. Wiley, 2021.

Russell, Stuart, and Peter Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. 4th ed., Pearson, 2021.

Laila Kamaruddin Thomas | Northern California | laila31@gmail.com | [linkedin.com/in/lailakamaruddin](https://www.linkedin.com/in/lailakamaruddin)